

A duality between pairs of split decompositions for a Q -polynomial distance-regular graph

Joohyung Kim*

Abstract

Let Γ denote a Q -polynomial distance-regular graph with diameter $D \geq 3$ and standard module V . Recently Ito and Terwilliger introduced four direct sum decompositions of V ; we call these the (μ, ν) -split decompositions of V , where $\mu, \nu \in \{\downarrow, \uparrow\}$. In this paper we show that the $(\downarrow, \downarrow)$ -split decomposition and the $(\uparrow, \uparrow)$ -split decomposition are dual with respect to the standard Hermitian form on V . We also show that the $(\downarrow, \uparrow)$ -split decomposition and the $(\uparrow, \downarrow)$ -split decomposition are dual with respect to the standard Hermitian form on V .

Keywords. Distance-regular graph, tridiagonal pair, subconstituent algebra, split decomposition.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05E30; Secondary 05E35, 05C50

1 Introduction

We consider a distance-regular graph Γ with vertex set X and diameter $D \geq 3$ (see Section 4 for formal definitions). We assume that Γ is Q -polynomial with respect to the ordering $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$ of the primitive idempotents. Let V denote the vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ consisting of column vectors whose coordinates are indexed by X and whose entries are in $\mathbb{C}$. We call V the *standard module*. We endow V with the Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ that satisfies $\langle u, v \rangle = u^t \bar{v}$ for $u, v \in V$. We call this form the *standard Hermitian form* on V . Recently Ito and Terwilliger introduced four direct sum decompositions of V [16]; we call these the (μ, ν) -split decompositions of V , where $\mu, \nu \in \{\downarrow, \uparrow\}$. These are defined as follows. Fix a vertex $x \in X$. For $0 \leq i \leq D$ let $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$ denote the diagonal matrix in $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ that represents the projection onto the i th subconstituent of Γ with respect to x . For $-1 \leq i, j \leq D$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} V_{i,j}^{\downarrow\downarrow} &= (E_0^*V + \cdots + E_i^*V) \cap (E_0V + \cdots + E_jV), \\ V_{i,j}^{\uparrow\downarrow} &= (E_D^*V + \cdots + E_{D-i}^*V) \cap (E_0V + \cdots + E_jV), \\ V_{i,j}^{\downarrow\uparrow} &= (E_0^*V + \cdots + E_i^*V) \cap (E_DV + \cdots + E_{D-j}V), \\ V_{i,j}^{\uparrow\uparrow} &= (E_D^*V + \cdots + E_{D-i}^*V) \cap (E_DV + \cdots + E_{D-j}V). \end{aligned}$$

*Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, 480 Lincoln Drive, Madison WI, 53706-1388 USA

For $\mu, \nu \in \{\downarrow, \uparrow\}$ and $0 \leq i, j \leq D$ we have $V_{i-1,j}^{\mu\nu} \subseteq V_{i,j}^{\mu\nu}$ and $V_{i,j-1}^{\mu\nu} \subseteq V_{i,j}^{\mu\nu}$; therefore $V_{i-1,j}^{\mu\nu} + V_{i,j-1}^{\mu\nu} \subseteq V_{i,j}^{\mu\nu}$. Let $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\mu\nu}$ denote the orthogonal complement of $V_{i-1,j}^{\mu\nu} + V_{i,j-1}^{\mu\nu}$ in $V_{i,j}^{\mu\nu}$ with respect to the standard Hermitian form. By [16, Lemma 10.3],

$$V = \sum_{i=0}^D \sum_{j=0}^D \tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\mu\nu} \quad (\text{direct sum}).$$

We call the above sum the (μ, ν) -split decomposition of V with respect to x . We show that with respect to the standard Hermitian form the $(\downarrow, \downarrow)$ -split decomposition (resp. $(\downarrow, \uparrow)$ -split decomposition) and the $(\uparrow, \uparrow)$ -split decomposition (resp. $(\uparrow, \downarrow)$ -split decomposition) are dual in the following sense.

Theorem 1.1 *With the above notation, the following (i), (ii) hold for $0 \leq i, j, r, s \leq D$.*

(i) $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\downarrow}$ and $\tilde{V}_{r,s}^{\uparrow\uparrow}$ are orthogonal unless $i + r = D$ and $j + s = D$.

(ii) $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\uparrow}$ and $\tilde{V}_{r,s}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ are orthogonal unless $i + r = D$ and $j + s = D$.

To prove Theorem 1.1 we use a result about tridiagonal pairs (Theorem 3.6) which may be of independent interest. We also use some results about the subconstituent algebra of Γ .

2 Tridiagonal pairs

We recall the notion of a tridiagonal pair [13]. We will use the following terms. Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with finite positive dimension. By a *linear transformation* on V we mean a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map from V to V . Let A denote a linear transformation on V . By an *eigenspace* of A we mean a nonzero subspace of V of the form

$$\{v \in V \mid Av = \theta v\},$$

where $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$. We say that A is *diagonalizable* whenever V is spanned by the eigenspaces of A . In this case V is the direct sum of the eigenspaces of A .

Definition 2.1 [13, Definition 1.1] Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with finite positive dimension. By a *tridiagonal pair* (or *TD pair*) on V we mean an ordered pair A, A^* of linear transformations on V that satisfy the following four conditions.

(i) A and A^* are both diagonalizable on V .

(ii) There exists an ordering $V_0, V_1, \dots, V_d$ of the eigenspaces of A such that

$$A^*V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d),$$

where $V_{-1} = 0, V_{d+1} = 0$.

(iii) There exists an ordering $V_0^*, V_1^*, \dots, V_\delta^*$ of the eigenspaces of A^* such that

$$AV_i^* \subseteq V_{i-1}^* + V_i^* + V_{i+1}^* \quad (0 \leq i \leq \delta),$$

where $V_{-1}^* = 0, V_{\delta+1}^* = 0$.

(iv) There is no subspace W of V such that both $AW \subseteq W, A^*W \subseteq W$, other than $W = 0$ and $W = V$.

Note 2.2 According to a common notational convention A^* denotes the conjugate-transpose of A . We are not using this convention. In a tridiagonal pair A, A^* the linear transformations A and A^* are arbitrary subject to (i)–(iv) above.

With reference to Definition 2.1, we have $d = \delta$ [13, Lemma 4.5]; we call this common value the *diameter* of A, A^* . See [13, 14, 15] for more information on tridiagonal pairs.

With reference to Definition 2.1, by the construction we have the direct sum decompositions $V = \sum_{i=0}^d V_i$ and $V = \sum_{i=0}^d V_i^*$. We now recall four more direct sum decompositions of V called the split decompositions.

Lemma 2.3 [15, Lemma 4.2] *With reference to Definition 2.1, for $\mu, \nu \in \{\downarrow, \uparrow\}$ we have*

$$V = \sum_{i=0}^d U_i^{\mu\nu} \quad (\text{direct sum}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U_i^{\downarrow\downarrow} &= (V_0^* + \dots + V_i^*) \cap (V_0 + \dots + V_{d-i}), \\ U_i^{\uparrow\downarrow} &= (V_{d-i}^* + \dots + V_d^*) \cap (V_0 + \dots + V_{d-i}), \\ U_i^{\downarrow\uparrow} &= (V_0^* + \dots + V_i^*) \cap (V_i + \dots + V_d), \\ U_i^{\uparrow\uparrow} &= (V_{d-i}^* + \dots + V_d^*) \cap (V_i + \dots + V_d). \end{aligned}$$

3 Hermitian forms

In this section we consider a tridiagonal pair for which the underlying vector space supports a certain Hermitian form. We start with the definition of a Hermitian form. Throughout this section V denotes a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with finite positive dimension. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ let $\overline{\alpha}$ denote the complex conjugate of α .

Definition 3.1 By a *Hermitian form* on V we mean a function $(,) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that for all u, v, w in V and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,

- (i) $(u + v, w) = (u, w) + (v, w)$,
- (ii) $(\alpha u, v) = \alpha(u, v)$,
- (iii) $(v, u) = \overline{(u, v)}$.

Definition 3.2 Let $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote a Hermitian form on V . By Definition 3.1(iii) we have $(v, v) \in \mathbb{R}$ for $v \in V$. We say that $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is *positive definite* whenever $(v, v) > 0$ for all nonzero $v \in V$.

Lemma 3.3 Let $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote a positive definite Hermitian form on V . Suppose that we are given a linear transformation $A : V \rightarrow V$ satisfying

$$(Au, v) = (u, Av) \quad u, v \in V. \quad (1)$$

Then all the eigenvalues of A are in $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof: Let λ denote an eigenvalue of A . We show that $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\mathbb{C}$ is algebraically closed there exists a nonzero $v \in V$ such that $Av = \lambda v$. By (1) $(Av, v) = (v, Av)$. Evaluating this using Definition 3.1(ii),(iii) we have $(\lambda - \bar{\lambda})(v, v) = 0$. But $(v, v) \neq 0$ since $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is positive definite so $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$. Therefore $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. $\square$

Assumption 3.4 Let A, A^* denote a tridiagonal pair on V as in Definition 2.1. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let θ_i (resp. θ_i^*) denote the eigenvalue of A (resp. A^*) associated with V_i (resp. V_i^*). We remark that $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ are mutually distinct and $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_d^*$ are mutually distinct. We assume that there exists a positive definite Hermitian form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on V satisfying

$$(Au, v) = (u, Av) \quad u, v \in V, \quad (2)$$

$$(A^*u, v) = (u, A^*v) \quad u, v \in V. \quad (3)$$

Lemma 3.5 With reference to Assumption 3.4, the following (i), (ii) hold.

(i) The eigenspaces $V_0, V_1, \dots, V_d$ are mutually orthogonal with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)$.

(ii) The eigenspaces $V_0^*, V_1^*, \dots, V_d^*$ are mutually orthogonal with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Proof: (i) For distinct i, j ($0 \leq i, j \leq d$) and for $u \in V_i, v \in V_j$ we show that $(u, v) = 0$. By (2) $(Au, v) = (u, Av)$. Evaluating this using Definition 3.1(ii),(iii) we find $(\theta_i - \bar{\theta}_j)(u, v) = 0$. But $\bar{\theta}_j = \theta_j$ by Lemma 3.3 and $\theta_i \neq \theta_j$ so $(u, v) = 0$.
(ii) Similar to the proof of (i). $\square$

Theorem 3.6 With reference to Lemma 2.3 and Assumption 3.4, the following (i), (ii) hold for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ such that $i + j \neq d$.

(i) The subspaces $U_i^{\downarrow\downarrow}$ and $U_j^{\uparrow\uparrow}$ are orthogonal with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)$.

(ii) The subspaces $U_i^{\downarrow\uparrow}$ and $U_j^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ are orthogonal with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Proof: (i) We consider two cases: $i + j < d$ and $i + j > d$. First suppose that $i + j < d$. By Lemma 2.3, $U_i^{\downarrow\downarrow} \subseteq V_0^* + \dots + V_i^*$ and $U_j^{\uparrow\uparrow} \subseteq V_{d-j}^* + \dots + V_d^*$. Observe that $V_0^* + \dots + V_i^*$ is orthogonal to $V_{d-j}^* + \dots + V_d^*$ by Lemma 3.5(ii) and since $i < d - j$. Therefore $U_i^{\downarrow\downarrow}$ is orthogonal to $U_j^{\uparrow\uparrow}$. Next suppose that $i + j > d$. By Lemma 2.3, $U_i^{\downarrow\downarrow} \subseteq V_0 + \dots + V_{d-i}$ and $U_j^{\uparrow\uparrow} \subseteq V_j + \dots + V_d$. Observe that $V_0 + \dots + V_{d-i}$ is orthogonal to $V_j + \dots + V_d$ by Lemma 3.5(i) and since $d - i < j$. Therefore $U_i^{\downarrow\downarrow}$ is orthogonal to $U_j^{\uparrow\uparrow}$.
(ii) Similar to the proof of (i). $\square$

4 Distance-regular graphs

In this section we review some definitions and basic concepts concerning distance-regular graphs. For more background information we refer the reader to [1], [3], [11] and [20].

Let X denote a nonempty finite set. Let $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ denote the $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra consisting of all matrices whose rows and columns are indexed by X and whose entries are in $\mathbb{C}$. Let $V = \mathbb{C}^X$ denote the vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ consisting of column vectors whose coordinates are indexed by X and whose entries are in $\mathbb{C}$. We observe that $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ acts on V by left multiplication. We call V the *standard module*. We endow V with the Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ that satisfies $\langle u, v \rangle = u^t \bar{v}$ for $u, v \in V$, where t denotes transpose. Observe that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is positive definite. We call this form the *standard Hermitian form* on V . Observe that for $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\langle Bu, v \rangle = \langle u, \bar{B}^t v \rangle \quad u, v \in V. \quad (4)$$

For all $y \in X$, let $\hat{y}$ denote the element of V with a 1 in the y coordinate and 0 in all other coordinates. Observe that $\{\hat{y} \mid y \in X\}$ is an orthonormal basis for V .

Let $\Gamma = (X, R)$ denote a finite, undirected, connected graph, without loops or multiple edges, with vertex set X and edge set R . Let ∂ denote the path-length distance function for Γ , and set $D := \max\{\partial(x, y) \mid x, y \in X\}$. We call D the *diameter* of Γ . We say that Γ is *distance-regular* whenever for all integers h, i, j ($0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$) and for all vertices $x, y \in X$ with $\partial(x, y) = h$, the number

$$p_{ij}^h = |\{z \in X \mid \partial(x, z) = i, \partial(z, y) = j\}|$$

is independent of x and y . The p_{ij}^h are called the *intersection numbers* of Γ .

For the rest of this paper we assume that Γ is distance-regular with diameter $D \geq 3$.

We recall the Bose-Mesner algebra of Γ . For $0 \leq i \leq D$ let A_i denote the matrix in $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ with xy entry

$$(A_i)_{xy} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \partial(x, y) = i \\ 0, & \text{if } \partial(x, y) \neq i \end{cases} \quad (x, y \in X).$$

We call A_i the i th *distance matrix* of Γ . We abbreviate $A := A_1$ and call this the *adjacency matrix* of Γ . We observe that (i) $A_0 = I$; (ii) $\sum_{i=0}^D A_i = J$; (iii) $\bar{A}_i = A_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq D$); (iv) $A_i^t = A_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq D$); (v) $A_i A_j = \sum_{h=0}^D p_{ij}^h A_h$ ($0 \leq i, j \leq D$), where I (resp. J) denotes the identity matrix (resp. all 1's matrix) in $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$. Using these facts we find $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_D$ is a basis for a commutative subalgebra M of $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$. We call M the *Bose-Mesner algebra* of Γ . It turns out that A generates M [1, p. 190]. By (4) and since A is real symmetric,

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = \langle u, Av \rangle \quad u, v \in V. \quad (5)$$

By [3, p. 45], M has a second basis $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$ such that (i) $E_0 = |X|^{-1}J$; (ii) $\sum_{i=0}^D E_i = I$; (iii) $\bar{E}_i = E_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq D$); (iv) $E_i^t = E_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq D$); (v) $E_i E_j = \delta_{ij} E_i$ ($0 \leq i, j \leq D$). We call $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$ the *primitive idempotents* of Γ .

We recall the eigenvalues of Γ . Since $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$ form a basis for M there exist complex scalars $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_D$ such that $A = \sum_{i=0}^D \theta_i E_i$. Observe that $AE_i = E_i A = \theta_i E_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq$

D . We call θ_i the *eigenvalue* of Γ associated with E_i ($0 \leq i \leq D$). By Lemma 3.3 and (5) the eigenvalues $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_D$ are in $\mathbb{R}$. Observe that $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_D$ are mutually distinct since A generates M . Observe that

$$V = E_0V + E_1V + \dots + E_DV \quad (\text{orthogonal direct sum}).$$

For $0 \leq i \leq D$ the space E_iV is the eigenspace of A associated with θ_i .

We now recall the Krein parameters. Let $\circ$ denote the entrywise product in $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$. Observe that $A_i \circ A_j = \delta_{ij}A_i$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq D$, so M is closed under $\circ$. Thus there exist complex scalars q_{ij}^h ($0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$) such that

$$E_i \circ E_j = |X|^{-1} \sum_{h=0}^D q_{ij}^h E_h \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq D).$$

By [2, p. 170], q_{ij}^h is real and nonnegative for $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$. The q_{ij}^h are called the *Krein parameters*. The graph Γ is said to be *Q-polynomial* (with respect to the given ordering $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$ of the primitive idempotents) whenever for $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$, $q_{ij}^h = 0$ (resp. $q_{ij}^h \neq 0$) whenever one of h, i, j is greater than (resp. equal to) the sum of the other two [3, p. 59]. See [1, 4, 5, 17, 18] for more information on the *Q-polynomial* property. From now on we assume that Γ is *Q-polynomial* with respect to $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$.

We recall the dual Bose-Mesner algebra of Γ . Fix a vertex $x \in X$. We view x as a “base vertex.” For $0 \leq i \leq D$ let $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$ denote the diagonal matrix in $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ with yy entry

$$(E_i^*)_{yy} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \partial(x, y) = i \\ 0, & \text{if } \partial(x, y) \neq i \end{cases} \quad (y \in X). \quad (6)$$

We call E_i^* the *i*th *dual idempotent* of Γ with respect to x [20, p. 378]. We observe that (i) $\sum_{i=0}^D E_i^* = I$; (ii) $\overline{E_i^*} = E_i^*$ ($0 \leq i \leq D$); (iii) $E_i^{*t} = E_i^*$ ($0 \leq i \leq D$); (iv) $E_i^* E_j^* = \delta_{ij} E_i^*$ ($0 \leq i, j \leq D$). By these facts $E_0^*, E_1^*, \dots, E_D^*$ form a basis for a commutative subalgebra $M^* = M^*(x)$ of $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$. We call M^* the *dual Bose-Mesner algebra* of Γ with respect to x [20, p. 378]. For $0 \leq i \leq D$ let $A_i^* = A_i^*(x)$ denote the diagonal matrix in $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ with yy entry $(A_i^*)_{yy} = |X|(E_i)_{xy}$ for $y \in X$. Then $A_0^*, A_1^*, \dots, A_D^*$ is a basis for M^* [20, p. 379]. Moreover (i) $A_0^* = I$; (ii) $\overline{A_i^*} = A_i^*$ ($0 \leq i \leq D$); (iii) $A_i^{*t} = A_i^*$ ($0 \leq i \leq D$); (iv) $A_i^* A_j^* = \sum_{h=0}^D q_{ij}^h A_h^*$ ($0 \leq i, j \leq D$) [20, p. 379]. We call $A_0^*, A_1^*, \dots, A_D^*$ the *dual distance matrices* of Γ with respect to x . We abbreviate $A^* := A_1^*$ and call this the *dual adjacency matrix* of Γ with respect to x . The matrix A^* generates M^* [20, Lemma 3.11]. By (4) and since A^* is real symmetric,

$$\langle A^*u, v \rangle = \langle u, A^*v \rangle \quad u, v \in V. \quad (7)$$

We recall the dual eigenvalues of Γ . Since $E_0^*, E_1^*, \dots, E_D^*$ form a basis for M^* and since A^* is real, there exist real scalars $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_D^*$ such that $A^* = \sum_{i=0}^D \theta_i^* E_i^*$. Observe that $A^* E_i^* = E_i^* A^* = \theta_i^* E_i^*$ for $0 \leq i \leq D$. We call θ_i^* the *dual eigenvalue* of Γ associated with E_i^* ($0 \leq i \leq D$). Observe that $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_D^*$ are mutually distinct since A^* generates M^* .

We recall the subconstituents of Γ . From (6) we find

$$E_i^*V = \text{span}\{\hat{y} \mid y \in X, \partial(x, y) = i\} \quad (0 \leq i \leq D). \quad (8)$$

By (8) and since $\{\hat{y} \mid y \in X\}$ is an orthonormal basis for V we find

$$V = E_0^*V + E_1^*V + \cdots + E_D^*V \quad (\text{orthogonal direct sum}).$$

For $0 \leq i \leq D$ the space E_i^*V is the eigenspace of A^* associated with θ_i^* . We call E_i^*V the *i*th subconstituent of Γ with respect to x .

We recall the subconstituent algebra of Γ . Let $T = T(x)$ denote the subalgebra of $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ generated by M and M^* . We call T the *subconstituent algebra* (or *Terwilliger algebra*) of Γ with respect to x [20, Definition 3.3]. We observe that T is generated by A, A^* . We observe that T has finite dimension. Moreover T is semi-simple since it is closed under the conjugate transpose map [8, p. 157]. See [6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 19, 20, 21, 22] for more information on the subconstituent algebra.

For the rest of this paper we adopt the following notational convention.

Notation 4.1 We assume that $\Gamma = (X, R)$ is a distance-regular graph with diameter $D \geq 3$. We assume that Γ is Q -polynomial with respect to the ordering $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$ of the primitive idempotents. We fix $x \in X$ and write $A^* = A^*(x)$, $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$ ($0 \leq i \leq D$), $T = T(x)$. We abbreviate $V = \mathbb{C}^X$. For notational convenience we define $E_{-1} = 0$, $E_{D+1} = 0$ and $E_{-1}^* = 0$, $E_{D+1}^* = 0$.

We finish this section with a comment.

Lemma 4.2 [20, Lemma 3.2] *With reference to Notation 4.1, the following (i), (ii) hold for $0 \leq i \leq D$.*

$$(i) \quad AE_i^*V \subseteq E_{i-1}^*V + E_i^*V + E_{i+1}^*V.$$

$$(ii) \quad A^*E_iV \subseteq E_{i-1}V + E_iV + E_{i+1}V.$$

5 The irreducible T -modules

In this section we recall some useful results on T -modules.

With reference to Notation 4.1, by a *T -module* we mean a subspace $W \subseteq V$ such that $BW \subseteq W$ for all $B \in T$. Let W denote a T -module. Then W is said to be *irreducible* whenever W is nonzero and W contains no T -modules other than 0 and W .

Let W denote a T -module and let W' denote a T -module contained in W . Then the orthogonal complement of W' in W is a T -module [10, p. 802]. It follows that each T -module is an orthogonal direct sum of irreducible T -modules. In particular V is an orthogonal direct sum of irreducible T -modules.

Let W denote an irreducible T -module. By the *endpoint* of W we mean $\min\{i \mid 0 \leq i \leq D, E_i^*W \neq 0\}$. By the *diameter* of W we mean $|\{i \mid 0 \leq i \leq D, E_i^*W \neq 0\}| - 1$. By the *dual*

endpoint of W we mean $\min\{i|0 \leq i \leq D, E_i W \neq 0\}$. By the *dual diameter* of W we mean $|\{i|0 \leq i \leq D, E_i^* W \neq 0\}| - 1$. The diameter of W is equal to the dual diameter of W [17, Corollary 3.3].

Lemma 5.1 [20, Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.9, Lemma 3.12] *With reference to Notation 4.1, let W denote an irreducible T -module with endpoint ρ , dual endpoint τ , and diameter d . Then ρ, τ, d are nonnegative integers such that $\rho + d \leq D$ and $\tau + d \leq D$. Moreover the following (i)–(iv) hold.*

- (i) $E_i^* W \neq 0$ if and only if $\rho \leq i \leq \rho + d$ ($0 \leq i \leq D$).
- (ii) $W = \sum_{h=0}^d E_{\rho+h}^* W$ (orthogonal direct sum).
- (iii) $E_i W \neq 0$ if and only if $\tau \leq i \leq \tau + d$ ($0 \leq i \leq D$).
- (iv) $W = \sum_{h=0}^d E_{\tau+h} W$ (orthogonal direct sum).

Lemma 5.2 [23, Lemma 3.2] *With reference to Notation 4.1, let W denote an irreducible T -module with endpoint ρ , dual endpoint τ , and diameter d . Then the following (i), (ii) hold for $0 \leq i \leq d$.*

- (i) $AE_{\rho+i}^* W \subseteq E_{\rho+i-1}^* W + E_{\rho+i}^* W + E_{\rho+i+1}^* W$.
- (ii) $A^* E_{\tau+i} W \subseteq E_{\tau+i-1} W + E_{\tau+i} W + E_{\tau+i+1} W$.

Remark 5.3 With reference to Notation 4.1, let W denote an irreducible T -module. Then A and A^* act on W as a tridiagonal pair in the sense of Definition 2.1. This follows from Lemma 5.1, Lemma 5.2, and since A, A^* together generate T .

Lemma 5.4 *With reference to Notation 4.1, let W denote an irreducible T -module with endpoint ρ , dual endpoint τ , and diameter d . Then for $\mu, \nu \in \{\downarrow, \uparrow\}$ we have*

$$W = \sum_{h=0}^d W_h^{\mu\nu} \quad (\text{direct sum}), \quad (9)$$

where for $0 \leq h \leq d$,

$$\begin{aligned} W_h^{\downarrow\downarrow} &= (E_\rho^* W + \cdots + E_{\rho+h}^* W) \cap (E_\tau W + \cdots + E_{\tau+d-h} W), \\ W_h^{\uparrow\downarrow} &= (E_{\rho+d-h}^* W + \cdots + E_{\rho+d}^* W) \cap (E_\tau W + \cdots + E_{\tau+d-h} W), \\ W_h^{\downarrow\uparrow} &= (E_\rho^* W + \cdots + E_{\rho+h}^* W) \cap (E_{\tau+h} W + \cdots + E_{\tau+d} W), \\ W_h^{\uparrow\uparrow} &= (E_{\rho+d-h}^* W + \cdots + E_{\rho+d}^* W) \cap (E_{\tau+h} W + \cdots + E_{\tau+d} W). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Immediate from Lemma 2.3 and Remark 5.3. □

We remark that the sum (9) is not orthogonal in general. However we do have the following result.

Lemma 5.5 *With reference to Notation 4.1, let W denote an irreducible T -module with diameter d . Then the following (i), (ii) hold for $0 \leq h, \ell \leq d$ such that $h + \ell \neq d$.*

- (i) *The subspaces $W_h^{\downarrow\downarrow}$ and $W_\ell^{\uparrow\uparrow}$ are orthogonal with respect to the standard Hermitian form.*
- (ii) *The subspaces $W_h^{\downarrow\uparrow}$ and $W_\ell^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ are orthogonal with respect to the standard Hermitian form.*

Proof: Combine Theorem 3.6, (5), (7), Remark 5.3, and Lemma 5.4. □

6 The split decompositions of the standard module

In this section we recall the four split decompositions for the standard module and discuss their basic properties.

Definition 6.1 [16, Definition 10.1] With reference to Notation 4.1, for $-1 \leq i, j \leq D$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} V_{i,j}^{\downarrow\downarrow} &= (E_0^*V + \cdots + E_i^*V) \cap (E_0V + \cdots + E_jV), \\ V_{i,j}^{\uparrow\downarrow} &= (E_D^*V + \cdots + E_{D-i}^*V) \cap (E_0V + \cdots + E_jV), \\ V_{i,j}^{\downarrow\uparrow} &= (E_0^*V + \cdots + E_i^*V) \cap (E_DV + \cdots + E_{D-j}V), \\ V_{i,j}^{\uparrow\uparrow} &= (E_D^*V + \cdots + E_{D-i}^*V) \cap (E_DV + \cdots + E_{D-j}V). \end{aligned}$$

In each of the above four equations we interpret the right-hand side to be 0 if $i = -1$ or $j = -1$.

Definition 6.2 [16, Definition 10.2] With reference to Notation 4.1 and Definition 6.1, for $\mu, \nu \in \{\downarrow, \uparrow\}$ and $0 \leq i, j \leq D$ we have $V_{i-1,j}^{\mu\nu} \subseteq V_{i,j}^{\mu\nu}$ and $V_{i,j-1}^{\mu\nu} \subseteq V_{i,j}^{\mu\nu}$. Therefore

$$V_{i-1,j}^{\mu\nu} + V_{i,j-1}^{\mu\nu} \subseteq V_{i,j}^{\mu\nu}.$$

Referring to the above inclusion, we define $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\mu\nu}$ to be the orthogonal complement of the left-hand side in the right-hand side; that is

$$\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\mu\nu} = (V_{i-1,j}^{\mu\nu} + V_{i,j-1}^{\mu\nu})^\perp \cap V_{i,j}^{\mu\nu}.$$

Lemma 6.3 [16, Lemma 10.3] *With reference to Notation 4.1 and Definition 6.2, the following holds for $\mu, \nu \in \{\downarrow, \uparrow\}$:*

$$V = \sum_{i=0}^D \sum_{j=0}^D \tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\mu\nu} \quad (\text{direct sum}). \quad (10)$$

Definition 6.4 We call the sum (10) the (μ, ν) -split decomposition of V with respect to x .

Remark 6.5 The decomposition (10) is not orthogonal in general.

Lemma 6.6 *With reference to Notation 4.1, let W denote an irreducible T -module with endpoint ρ , dual endpoint τ , and diameter d . Then for $0 \leq h \leq d$ and $0 \leq i, j \leq D$ the following (i)–(iv) hold.*

- (i) $W_h^{\downarrow\downarrow} \subseteq \tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\downarrow}$ if and only if $i = \rho + h$ and $j = \tau + d - h$.
- (ii) $W_h^{\uparrow\downarrow} \subseteq \tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ if and only if $i = D - \rho - d + h$ and $j = \tau + d - h$.
- (iii) $W_h^{\downarrow\uparrow} \subseteq \tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\uparrow}$ if and only if $i = \rho + h$ and $j = D - \tau - h$.
- (iv) $W_h^{\uparrow\uparrow} \subseteq \tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\uparrow\uparrow}$ if and only if $i = D - \rho - d + h$ and $j = D - \tau - h$.

Proof: Immediate from [16, Lemma 11.4] and (10). $\square$

Lemma 6.7 *With reference to Notation 4.1, fix an orthogonal direct sum decomposition of the standard module V of Γ into irreducible T -modules:*

$$V = \sum_W W. \quad (11)$$

Then the following (i)–(iv) hold for $0 \leq i, j \leq D$.

- (i) $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\downarrow} = \sum W_h^{\downarrow\downarrow}$, where the sum is over all ordered pairs (W, h) such that W is assumed in (11) with endpoint $\rho \leq i$, dual endpoint $\tau = i + j - \rho - d$, diameter $d \geq i - \rho$, and $h = i - \rho$.
- (ii) $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\uparrow\downarrow} = \sum W_h^{\uparrow\downarrow}$, where the sum is over all ordered pairs (W, h) such that W is assumed in (11) with endpoint $\rho \leq D - i$, dual endpoint $\tau = i + j + \rho - D$, diameter $d \geq D - \rho - i$, and $h = \rho + d - D + i$.
- (iii) $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\uparrow} = \sum W_h^{\downarrow\uparrow}$, where the sum is over all ordered pairs (W, h) such that W is assumed in (11) with endpoint $\rho \leq i$, dual endpoint $\tau = \rho + D - i - j$, diameter $d \geq i - \rho$, and $h = i - \rho$.
- (iv) $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\uparrow\uparrow} = \sum W_h^{\uparrow\uparrow}$, where the sum is over all ordered pairs (W, h) such that W is assumed in (11) with endpoint $\rho \leq D - i$, dual endpoint $\tau = 2D - \rho - d - i - j$, diameter $d \geq D - \rho - i$, and $h = \rho + d - D + i$.

Proof: (i) For $0 \leq i, j \leq D$ define

$$v_{i,j} = \sum W_h^{\downarrow\downarrow}, \quad (12)$$

where the sum is over all ordered pairs (W, h) such that W is assumed in (11) with endpoint $\rho \leq i$, dual endpoint $\tau = i + j - \rho - d$, diameter $d \geq i - \rho$, and $h = i - \rho$. We show that $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\downarrow} = v_{i,j}$. We first show that $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\downarrow} \supseteq v_{i,j}$. Let $W_h^{\downarrow\downarrow}$ denote one of the terms in the sum on the right in (12). We show that $W_h^{\downarrow\downarrow}$ is contained in $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\downarrow}$. Let ρ, τ, d denote the endpoint, dual endpoint, and diameter of W , respectively. By construction $\tau = i + j - \rho - d$ and $h = i - \rho$.

Subtracting the second equation from the first equation we find $j = \tau + d - h$. Now $W_h^{\downarrow\downarrow}$ is contained in $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\downarrow}$ by Lemma 6.6(i). We have now shown that $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\downarrow} \supseteq v_{i,j}$. We can now easily show that $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\downarrow} = v_{i,j}$. Expanding the sum (11) using Lemma 5.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \sum_W W && \text{(direct sum)} \\ &= \sum_W \sum_h W_h^{\downarrow\downarrow} && \text{(direct sum),} \end{aligned}$$

where the second sum is over the integer h from 0 to the diameter of W . In the above sum we change the order of summation to get

$$V = \sum_{i=0}^D \sum_{j=0}^D \sum W_h^{\downarrow\downarrow} \quad \text{(direct sum),}$$

where the third sum is over all ordered pairs (W, h) such that W is assumed in (11) with endpoint $\rho \leq i$, dual endpoint $\tau = i + j - \rho - d$, diameter $d \geq i - \rho$, and $h = i - \rho$. In other words,

$$V = \sum_{i=0}^D \sum_{j=0}^D v_{i,j} \quad \text{(direct sum).}$$

By this, (10), and since $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\downarrow} \supseteq v_{i,j}$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq D$, we find $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\downarrow} = v_{i,j}$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq D$.

(ii), (iii), (iv) Similar to the proof of (i). $\square$

Now we have the main result.

Theorem 6.8 *With reference to Notation 4.1 and Definition 6.2, the following (i), (ii) hold for $0 \leq i, j, r, s \leq D$.*

(i) $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\downarrow}$ and $\tilde{V}_{r,s}^{\uparrow\uparrow}$ are orthogonal unless $i + r = D$ and $j + s = D$.

(ii) $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\uparrow}$ and $\tilde{V}_{r,s}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ are orthogonal unless $i + r = D$ and $j + s = D$.

Proof: (i) Assume that $i + r \neq D$ or $j + s \neq D$. We show that $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\downarrow}$ and $\tilde{V}_{r,s}^{\uparrow\uparrow}$ are orthogonal. To do this we will use Lemma 6.7(i),(iv). Let $W_h^{\downarrow\downarrow}$ (resp. $W_{h'}^{\uparrow\uparrow}$) denote one of the terms in the sum in Lemma 6.7(i) (resp. Lemma 6.7(iv)). We show that $W_h^{\downarrow\downarrow}$ and $W_{h'}^{\uparrow\uparrow}$ are orthogonal. There are two cases to consider. First assume that $W \neq W'$. Then W and W' are orthogonal so $W_h^{\downarrow\downarrow}$ and $W_{h'}^{\uparrow\uparrow}$ are orthogonal. Next assume that $W = W'$. Let ρ, τ, d denote the corresponding endpoint, dual endpoint, and diameter. By Lemma 6.7(i),

$$\tau = i + j - \rho - d, \quad h = i - \rho. \quad (13)$$

By Lemma 6.7(iv),

$$\tau = 2D - \rho - d - r - s, \quad h' = \rho + d - D + r. \quad (14)$$

Adding the equations on the right in (13), (14) we get

$$i + r - D = h + h' - d. \quad (15)$$

Subtracting the equation on the left in (13) from the equation on the left in (14) and evaluating the result using (15) we get

$$j + s - D = d - h - h'. \quad (16)$$

By (15), (16) and since $i + r \neq D$ or $j + s \neq D$ we find $h + h' \neq d$. Now $W_h^{\downarrow\downarrow}$ and $W_{h'}^{\uparrow\uparrow}$ are orthogonal by Lemma 5.5(i).

(ii) Similar to the proof of (i). □

Corollary 6.9 *With reference to Notation 4.1 and Definition 6.2, the following (i), (ii) hold for $0 \leq i, j \leq D$.*

$$(i) \dim \tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\downarrow} = \dim \tilde{V}_{D-i,D-j}^{\uparrow\uparrow}.$$

$$(ii) \dim \tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\uparrow} = \dim \tilde{V}_{D-i,D-j}^{\uparrow\downarrow}.$$

Proof: Immediate from Theorem 6.8 and elementary linear algebra. □

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Professor Paul M. Terwilliger for his valuable ideas and comments.

References

- [1] E. Bannai and T. Ito. *Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes*. Benjamin/Cummings, London, 1984.
- [2] N. Biggs *Algebraic Graph Theory. Second edition*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [3] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, and A. Neumaier. *Distance-Regular Graphs*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [4] J. S. Caughman IV. Spectra of bipartite P - and Q -polynomial association schemes. *Graphs Combin.* **14** (1998), 321–343.
- [5] J. S. Caughman IV. The Terwilliger algebras of bipartite P - and Q -polynomial association schemes. *Discrete Math.* **196** (1999), 65–95.
- [6] B. Curtin. Bipartite distance-regular graphs I. *Graphs Combin.* **15** (1999), 143–158.
- [7] B. Curtin. Bipartite distance-regular graphs II. *Graphs Combin.* **15** (1999), 377–391.

- [8] C. Curtis and I. Reiner. *Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras*. Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [9] J. T. Go. The Terwilliger algebra of the hypercube. *European J. Combin.* **23** (2002), 399–429.
- [10] J. T. Go and P. Terwilliger. Tight distance-regular graphs and the subconstituent algebra. *European J. Combin.* **23** (2002), 793–816.
- [11] C. D. Godsil. *Algebraic Combinatorics*. Chapman and Hall, Inc., New York, 1993.
- [12] S. A. Hobart and T. Ito. The structure of nonthin irreducible T -modules: ladder bases and classical parameters. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **7** (1998), 53–75.
- [13] T. Ito, K. Tanabe, P. Terwilliger. Some algebra related to P - and Q -polynomial association schemes. *Codes and Association Schemes (Piscataway NJ, 1999)*, 167–192, *DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **56**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI 2001.
- [14] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. The shape of a tridiagonal pair. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra.* **188** (2004), 145–160.
- [15] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. Tridiagonal pairs and the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$. *Ramanujan J.* **13** (2007), 39–62. [arXiv:math.QA/0310042](#).
- [16] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. Distance-regular graphs and the q -tetrahedron algebra. *European J. Combin.*, Submitted. [arXiv:math.CO/0608694](#).
- [17] A. A. Pascasio. On the multiplicities of the primitive idempotents of a Q -polynomial distance-regular graph. *European J. Combin.* **23** (2002), 1073–1078.
- [18] A. A. Pascasio. Tight distance-regular graphs and the Q -polynomial property. *Graphs Combin.* **17** (2001), 149–169.
- [19] K. Tanabe. The irreducible modules of the Terwilliger algebras of Doob schemes. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **6** (1997), 173–195.
- [20] P. Terwilliger. The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme I. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **1** (1992), 363–388.
- [21] P. Terwilliger. The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme II. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **2** (1993), 73–103.
- [22] P. Terwilliger. The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme III. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **2** (1993), 177–210.
- [23] P. Terwilliger. The displacement and split decompositions for a Q -polynomial distance-regular graph. *Graphs Combin.* **21** (2005), 263–276. [arXiv:math.CO/0306142](#).

Joohyung Kim
Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin
480 Lincoln Drive
Madison Wisconsin
53706-1388 USA
Email: jkim@math.wisc.edu